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Management Summary

This report summarizes the results of the functional safety assessment according to IEC 61508 carried out on the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller.

The functional safety assessment performed by exida consisted of the following activities:

- **exida** assessed the development process used by Topworx, Inc. by an on-site audit and creation of a safety case against the requirements of IEC 61508.

- **exida** performed a detailed Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis (FMEDA) of the devices to document the hardware architecture and failure behavior.

- **exida** reviewed field failure data to ensure that the FMEDA analysis was complete.

- **exida** reviewed the manufacturing quality system in use at Topworx.

The functional safety assessment was performed to the requirements of IEC 61508: ed2, 2010, SIL 3 for mechanical components. A full IEC 61508 Safety Case was prepared using the exida SafetyCase tool as the primary audit tool. Hardware process requirements and all associated documentation were reviewed. Environmental test reports were reviewed. Also the user documentation (safety manual) was reviewed.

The results of the Functional Safety Assessment can be summarized as:

**The Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller was found to meet the Systematic Capability requirements of IEC 61508 for up to SC 3 (SIL 3 Capable).**

The Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller meets the Random Capability requirements for a Type A device with the hardware architectural constraints per Route1_H for SIL3 when automatic Partial Valve Stroke Testing is applied to the entire final element. The Safe Failure Fraction (SFF) must be determined for the entire final element per Route 1_H.

The D-ESD Valve Controller was found to meet the Random Capability requirements per Route 2_H. When 2_H data is used for all of the associated devices in the final element, the final element meets the hardware architectural constraints per Route 2_H.

The PFD_{AVG} and architectural constraint requirements of the standard must be verified for each element of the safety function.

The manufacturer will be entitled to use the Functional Safety Logo.
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1 Purpose and Scope

This document shall describe the results of the IEC 61508 functional safety assessment of the Topworx, Inc. D-ESD Valve Controller by exida according to the accredited exida certification scheme which includes the requirements of IEC 61508: ed2, 2010. requirements of IEC 61508: ed2, 2010.

The results of this provides the safety instrumentation engineer with the required failure data as per IEC 61508 / IEC 61511 and confidence that sufficient attention has been given to systematic failures during the development process of the device.

1.1 Tools and Methods used for the assessment

This assessment was carried by using the exida Safety Case tool. The Safety Case tool contains the exida scheme which includes all the relevant requirements of IEC 61508.

For the fulfillment of the objectives, expectations are defined which builds the acceptance level for the assessment. The expectations are reviewed to verify that each single requirement is covered. Because of this methodology, comparable assessments in multiple projects with different assessors are achieved. The arguments for the positive judgment of the assessor are documented within this tool and summarized within this report.

The assessment was planned by exida agreed with Topworx, Inc..

All assessment steps were continuously documented by exida (see [R1] to [R3])
2 Project Management

2.1 exida

exida is one of the world’s leading accredited Certification Bodies and knowledge companies, specializing in automation system safety and availability with over 400 years of cumulative experience in functional safety. Founded by several of the world’s top reliability and safety experts from assessment organizations and manufacturers, exida is a global company with offices around the world. exida offers training, coaching, project oriented system consulting services, safety lifecycle engineering tools, detailed product assurance, cyber-security and functional safety certification, and a collection of on-line safety and reliability resources. exida maintains a comprehensive failure rate and failure mode database on process equipment based on 250 billion hours of field failure data.

2.2 Roles of the parties involved

Topworx, Inc. Manufacturer of the D-ESD Valve Controller

exida Performed the hardware assessment

exida Performed the IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment

Topworx contracted exida in November 2013 for the IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment of the above mentioned devices.


2.3 Standards and literature used

The services delivered by exida were performed based on the following standards / literature.


2.4 Reference documents

2.4.1 Documentation provided by Topworx, Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doc ID</th>
<th>Generic Document Name</th>
<th>Project Document Name</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D003</td>
<td>Overall Development Process</td>
<td>PRO-1.0 R18 06-17-16.pdf</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6/17/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D003b</td>
<td>Development Process Form</td>
<td>Master PPD Template.xls</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6/8/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D004</td>
<td>Configuration Management Process</td>
<td>PRO-1.0 R18 06-17-16.pdf</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6/17/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Version</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D005</td>
<td>Field Failure Reporting Procedure</td>
<td>PRO-6.0 R17 12-01-16.pdf</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12/1/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D006</td>
<td>Field Return Procedure</td>
<td>PRO-6.0 R17 12-01-16.pdf</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12/1/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D007b</td>
<td>Sourcing From Approved Vendors</td>
<td>PRO-3.0 R21 05-06-16.pdf</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5/6/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D007c</td>
<td>Approved Supplier List</td>
<td>Approved Supplier List.xls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D008</td>
<td>Part Selection Procedure</td>
<td>PRO-1.0 R18 06-17-16.pdf</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6/17/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D012</td>
<td>Non-Conformance Reporting procedure</td>
<td>PRO-6.0 R17 12-01-16.pdf</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12/1/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D013</td>
<td>Non-Conformance Reporting procedure</td>
<td>PRO-6.0 R17 12-01-16.pdf</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12/1/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D016</td>
<td>Action Item List Tracking Procedure</td>
<td>PRO-1.0 R18 06-17-16.pdf</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6/17/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D019</td>
<td>Customer Notification Procedure</td>
<td>PRO-6.0 R17 12-01-16.pdf</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12/1/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D023</td>
<td>Modification Procedure</td>
<td>PRO-1.0 R18 06-17-16.pdf</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6/17/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D023c</td>
<td>Engineering Change Form</td>
<td>ECO Form.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D023d</td>
<td>Engineering Change Form</td>
<td>Engineering Change Order R46.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D026</td>
<td>FSM Plan or Development Plan</td>
<td>PRO-0.5 Business Management Plan R15 06-14-13.pdf</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6/14/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D026b</td>
<td>FS Organization Chart</td>
<td>Functional Safety Organizational Chart.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D026c</td>
<td>FS Policy</td>
<td>Functional Safety Policy.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D027</td>
<td>Configuration Management Plan</td>
<td>PRO-1.0 R18 06-17-16.pdf</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6/17/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D030</td>
<td>Shipment Records</td>
<td>Topworx ESD-series shipments.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D031</td>
<td>Field Returns Records</td>
<td>Coil &amp; Spool Application failures.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D031b</td>
<td>Field Returns Records</td>
<td>Coil Failures.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D031c</td>
<td>Field Returns Records</td>
<td>Spool failures.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D032</td>
<td>Firmware Engineer Job Description</td>
<td>FIRMWARE ENGINEER Job Description 2012.pdf</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Last Modified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D032b</td>
<td>Manager, Technical Service Job Description</td>
<td>7/8/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D032c</td>
<td>Quality Manager Job Description</td>
<td>7/3/1905</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D032d</td>
<td>Manufacturing Engineering Supervisor Job Description</td>
<td>7/5/1905</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D032e</td>
<td>Valvetop &amp; Wireless Product Manager</td>
<td>7/5/1905</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D032f</td>
<td>Manufacturing Engineer Supervisor Job Description</td>
<td>7/5/1905</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D033</td>
<td>Training Record</td>
<td>5/18/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D033c</td>
<td>Training Record</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D034</td>
<td>Skills Matrix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D036</td>
<td>ISO 900x Cert or equivalent</td>
<td>3/1/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D036c</td>
<td>SIRA Functional Safety Certification</td>
<td>3 6/12/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D036d</td>
<td>SIRA Functional Safety Certificate</td>
<td>8/12/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D036e</td>
<td>ISO 900x Cert or equivalent</td>
<td>1/28/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D036f</td>
<td>ISO 900x Cert or equivalent</td>
<td>3/1/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D036g</td>
<td>ISO 900x Cert or equivalent</td>
<td>10/18/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D038</td>
<td>List of Design Tools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D040</td>
<td>Go Switch Redesign Schematics</td>
<td>B pending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D040b</td>
<td>Go Switch Redesign Product Specifications</td>
<td>01 11/25/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D040c</td>
<td>3rd Part Solenoid Diagram</td>
<td>1 11/25/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D040d</td>
<td>3rd Part Solenoid Explanation</td>
<td>1 10/22/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>File Name</td>
<td>Page/Revision</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D055</td>
<td>FMEDA Report</td>
<td>EPM 14-08-108_R001 V1R1_FMEDA Topworx.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D056b</td>
<td>Requirements Traceability Matrix</td>
<td>D-Series Standards.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D069b</td>
<td>Validation Test Plan</td>
<td>OPS-DXP-610 Testing (rev 14) 4_26_10.xlsx</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D069c</td>
<td>Validation Test Plan</td>
<td>Tester_schematic_ver2.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D069d</td>
<td>Validation Test Plan</td>
<td>TOPWORX D-Series Seat Leak Tester D-sub External wirelist.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/5/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D069e</td>
<td>Go Switch Re-design Prototype Functional Validation Test Plan</td>
<td>ESD RE-Design Prototype Functional Template.xlsx</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/15/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D070</td>
<td>Validation Test Plan Review Record</td>
<td>Shop Routing Example.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D071</td>
<td>Environmental Test Plan</td>
<td>Validation Test Plan ESD CONV.xls</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/16/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D071b</td>
<td>Go Switch Re-Design Environmental Test Plan</td>
<td>Validation Test Plan for ESD Re-Design Exida.xls</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/16/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D073</td>
<td>Name of Change Request Tracking System</td>
<td>Manual Tracking by ECO Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D074</td>
<td>Validation Test Results</td>
<td>Validation Test Plan Results.doc</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/6/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D074b</td>
<td>Validation Test Results</td>
<td>640-PST.doc</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/19/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D074c</td>
<td>Validation Test Results</td>
<td>644 Hot Cold Test.doc</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/15/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D074d</td>
<td>Validation Test Results</td>
<td>Validation Test Plan Results.doc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D074e</td>
<td>Validation Functional Test Results GO Switch Redesign</td>
<td>1293.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/13/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D074f</td>
<td>Validation Storage Temperature Test Results GO Switch Redesign</td>
<td>1294.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/30/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D074g</td>
<td>Validation Functional Results GO Switch Redesign</td>
<td>1305.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/28/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D074h</td>
<td>Validation Temperature Cycle Tests GO Switch Redesign</td>
<td>1295.pdf</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/28/2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: Documents highlighted in gray were reviewed as part of the Go Switch and 3rd Party Solenoid redesigns.

### 2.4.2 Documentation generated by exida

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Date/Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[R1]</td>
<td>EPM 13-08-108 R002 V2R1 FMEDA report, November 24, 2015.</td>
<td>FMEDA report, D-ESD Valve Controller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[R3]</td>
<td>EPM 13-08-108 V4 Topworx SafetyCase</td>
<td>IEC 61508 SafetyCaseWB for Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.5 Assessment Approach

The certification audit was closely driven by requirements of the exida scheme which includes subsets filtered from IEC 61508.

The assessment was planned by exida and agreed upon by Topworx, Inc.

The following IEC 61508 objectives were subject to detailed auditing at Topworx, Inc.
- FSM planning, including
  - Safety Life Cycle definition
  - Scope of the FSM activities
  - Documentation
    - Activities and Responsibilities (Training and competence)
    - Configuration management
- Safety Requirement Specification
- Change and modification management
- Hardware architecture design - process, techniques and documentation
- Hardware design / probabilistic modeling
- Hardware and system related V&V activities including documentation, verification
  - Integration and fault insertion test strategy
- Hardware-related operation, installation and maintenance requirements
3 Product Description

The D-ESD Valve Controller provides two functions. It can be used to automatically or manually initiate a Partial Valve Stroke Test (PVST) as well as an Emergency Shutdown Function (ESD). The FMEDA addresses the ESD function as the PVST function was determined to be non-interfering. The PVST function can be automatically initiated; therefore, credit was given for PVST as a diagnostic. The D-ESD Valve Controller is an actuator top mounted device that consists of a housing that contains an ESD module, proximity switches, and a solenoid pilot. Mounted external to the enclosure is a 5 port 2 position spool valve. The spool valve is driven by the solenoid pilot. The ESD function is de-energize to trip. The D-ESD Valve Controller is not a standalone element. In order to perform the final element safety function, the D-ESD Valve Controller must be used with spring return actuator and valve.

The following cube assemblies are included in the assessment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Designation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cube Assembly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DXP/S-ESXXXXXXXXXYX</td>
<td>Integrated Solenoid</td>
<td>ES-00921-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXP/S-ESXXXXXXXXYXZZZZ</td>
<td>Integrated Solenoid</td>
<td>ES-05078-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXP/S-ESXXXXX000YX</td>
<td>3rd Party Solenoid</td>
<td>ES-00921-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXP/S-ESXXXXX000YXZZZZ</td>
<td>3rd Party Solenoid</td>
<td>ES-05078-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main difference in the cube design is the mating with different switch technologies. The design of the safety function is the same for either cube.

This assessment is applicable to D-ESD Valve Controllers using the following solenoid pilots:

- SMC Series SY100
- Amisco 15mm Series
- ASCO 302 Series

A configuration that uses a 3rd party solenoid is also available. 3rd party solenoids selected for use as part of a safety instrumented system for SIL 1 to SIL 3 applications shall meet the requirements of IEC 61511, 1st Edition, paragraph 11.5.2.
Figure 1 Typical Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller covered in this assessment,
Table 1 gives an overview of the different versions that were considered in the FMEDA of the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller.

**Table 1 Version Overview**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Hardware Configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 1</td>
<td>Integral solenoid, single acting, spring return actuator application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2</td>
<td>Integral solenoid, single acting, spring return actuator application with PVST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3</td>
<td>3rd Party Solenoid, spring return actuator application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4</td>
<td>3rd Party Solenoid, spring return actuator application with PVST</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller is classified as a component of a Type A\(^1\) element according to IEC 61508, having a hardware fault tolerance of 0.

---

\(^1\) Type A element: “Non-Complex” element (using discrete components); for details see 7.4.4.1.2 of IEC 61508-2, ed2, 2010.
4 IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment

The IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment was performed based on the information received from Topworx, Inc. and is documented in this report.

4.1 Methodology

The full functional safety assessment includes an assessment of all fault avoidance and fault control measures during hardware development and demonstrates full compliance with IEC 61508 to the end-user. The assessment considers all requirements of IEC 61508. Any requirements that have been deemed not applicable have been marked as such in the full Safety Case report, e.g. software development requirements for a product with no software. The assessment also includes a review of existing manufacturing quality procedures to ensure compliance to the quality requirements of IEC 61508.

As part of the IEC 61508 functional safety assessment the following aspects have been reviewed:

- Development process, including:
  - Functional Safety Management, including training and competence recording, FSM planning, and configuration management
  - Specification process, techniques and documentation
  - Design process, techniques and documentation, including tools used
  - Validation activities, including development test procedures, test plans and reports, production test procedures and documentation
  - Verification activities and documentation
  - Modification process and documentation
  - Installation, operation, and maintenance requirements, including user documentation
  - Manufacturing Quality System

- Product design
  - Hardware architecture and failure behavior, documented in a FMEDA

The review of the development procedures is described in section 5.1. The review of the product design is described in section 5.2.

4.2 Assessment level

The Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller has been assessed per IEC 61508 to the following level:

- Systematic Capability SC3 (SIL 3 capability) as the development procedures were assessed as suitable for use in applications with a maximum Safety Integrity Level of 3 (SIL 3) according to IEC 61508.
5 Results of the IEC 61508 Functional Safety Assessment

exida assessed the development process used by Topworx, Inc. for these products against the objectives of IEC 61508 parts 1 - 7. The assessment was done on-site at the Louisville, Kentucky facility on February 24-25, 2014 and documented in the SafetyCase [R3].

This assessment includes the redesign of the cube to accommodate Go Switches as well as the 3rd part solenoid design. This revised designs do not change the emergency shutdown function.

5.1 Lifecycle Activities and Fault Avoidance Measures

Topworx, Inc. has a defined product lifecycle process in place. This is documented in the Quality Management System Manual D001 and various Quality Procedures [D003-D023]. A documented modification process is also covered in the Quality Manual. No software is part of the design and therefore any requirements specific from IEC 61508 to software and software development do not apply.

The assessment investigated the compliance with IEC 61508 of the processes, procedures and techniques as implemented for product design and development. The investigation was executed using subsets of the IEC 61508 requirements tailored to the SIL 3 work scope of the development team. The defined product lifecycle process was modified as a result of the audit which showed some areas for improvement. However, given the simple nature of the safety function and the extensive proven field experience for existing products Topworx, Inc. was able to demonstrate that the objectives of the standard have been met. The result of the assessment can be summarized by the following observations:

The audited Topworx, Inc. design and development process complies with the relevant managerial requirements of IEC 61508 SIL 3.

5.1.1 Functional Safety Management

The Valve Controllers manufactured by Topworx are not built for inventory. These Controllers are build-to-order. The basic designs are standardized, but each order can have specific customer requests. Due to the specialized nature of each Controller, documentation that defines all of the requirements is generated for every order as part of the process.

FSM Planning

Topworx, Inc. has a defined process in place for product design and development. Required activities are specified along with review and approval requirements. This is primarily documented in their Quality Management System Manual [D001]. Templates and sample documents were reviews and found to be sufficient. The modification process is covered by PRO-1.0 R16 06-14-13. This process and the procedures referenced therein fulfill the requirements of IEC 61508 with respect to functional safety management for a product with simple complexity and well defined safety functionality.

Version Control

PRO-1.0 R16 06-14-13, sections 1.2 and 5.1 requires that all documents be under document control. Use of this to control revisions was evident during the audit.
Training, Competency recording

PRO-2.0 R16 06-14-13 requires the Human Resource department to maintain training records of education, experience, training and qualifications for all personnel. Department heads are responsible for identifying and providing the training needs for their department as well as proficiency evaluations. The procedures and records were examined and found up-to-date and sufficient. Topworx hired exida to be the independent assessor per IEC 61508 and to provide specific IEC 61508 knowledge.

5.1.2 Safety Requirements Specification and Architecture Design

The safety requirement specification for the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller is documented in ES-04513-1 [D040f]. As the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller designs are simple and are based upon standard designs with extensive field history, only a semi-formal method is needed. General Design and testing methodology is documented and required as part of the design process. This meets SIL 3.

5.1.3 Hardware Design

The design process is documented in Section 1.0 of [D001]. Items from IEC 61508-2, Table B.2 include observance of guidelines and standards, (API, PED, ATEX) project management, documentation (design outputs are documented per quality procedures), structured design, modularization, use of well-tried components / materials, and computer-aided design tools. This meets SIL 3.

5.1.4 Validation

Validation Testing is documented on form Validation Test Plan Results Template which is created for each order. The test plan includes testing per all standard and customer performance requirements. As the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller's safety function is simple, there is no separate integration testing necessary. The Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller performs only 1 Safety Function, which is extensively tested under various conditions during validation testing.

Items from IEC 61508-2, Table B.3 include functional testing, project management, documentation, and black-box testing (for the considered devices this is similar to functional testing). Field experience and statistical testing via regression testing are not applicable. This meets SIL 3.

Items from IEC 61508-2, Table B.5 included functional testing and functional testing under environmental conditions, project management, documentation, failure analysis (analysis on products that failed), expanded functional testing, black-box testing, and fault insertion testing. This meets SIL 3.

5.1.5 Verification

The development and verification activities are defined in Section 1.0 of [D001]. For each design phase the objectives are stated, required input and output documents and review activities. This meets SIL 3.
5.1.6 Proven in Use

In addition to the Design Fault avoidance techniques listed above, a Proven in Use evaluation was carried out on the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller. Shipment records were used to determine that the D-ESD Valve Controllers have >10 million field unit operating hours and they have demonstrated a field failure rate less than the failure rates indicated in the FMEDA reports. This meets the requirements for Proven in Use for SIL 3. Since the execution of the safety function for the design that uses the ES-05078-1 is the same as the safety execution for the originally assessed design, the proven in use analysis is also applicable to the ES-05078-1 cube.

5.1.7 Modifications

Modifications are initiated per the Engineering Change Order procedure (section 1.3 of [D001]). All changes are first reviewed and analyzed for impact before being approved. Measures to verify and validate the change are developed following the normal design process. This meets SIL 3.

5.1.8 User documentation

Topworx, Inc. creates the following user documentation: product catalogs and a Safety Manual. The Safety Manual was found to contain all of the required information given the simplicity of the products. The Safety Manual references the FMEDA reports which are available and contain the required failure rates, failure modes, useful life, and suggested proof test information.

Items from IEC 61508-2, Table B.4 include operation and maintenance instructions, user friendliness, maintenance friendliness, project management, documentation, limited operation possibilities (Topworx D-ESD Valve Controllers perform well-defined actions) and operation only by skilled operators (operators familiar with type of valve, although this is partly the responsibility of the end-user). This meets SIL 3.

5.2 Hardware Assessment

To evaluate the hardware design of the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis’s were performed by exida. These are documented in [R1].

A Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is a systematic way to identify and evaluate the effects of different component failure modes, to determine what could eliminate or reduce the chance of failure, and to document the system in consideration. An FMEDA (Failure Mode Effect and Diagnostic Analysis) is an FMEA extension. It combines standard FMEA techniques with extension to identify online diagnostics techniques and the failure modes relevant to safety instrumented system design.

From the FMEDA, failure rates are derived for each important failure category. All failure rate analysis results and useful life limitations are listed in the FMEDA report [R1]. Tables in the FMEDA report list these failure rates for the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller under a variety of applications. The failure rates listed are valid for the useful life of the devices.

Note, as the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller is only one part of the final element of a safety instrumented function, architectural constraints shall be calculated for the entire final element using Route 1_H or Route 2_H.
These results must be considered in combination with $PFD_{AVG}$ values of other devices of a Safety Instrumented Function (SIF) in order to determine suitability for a specific Safety Integrity Level (SIL). The architectural constraints requirements of IEC 61508-2, Table 2 also need to be evaluated for each final element application. It is the end user’s responsibility to confirm this for each particular application and to include all components of the final element in the calculations.

The analysis shows that the design of the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller can meet the hardware requirements of IEC 61508, SIL 3 depending on the complete final element design. The Hardware Fault Tolerance, $PFD_{AVG}$, and Safe Failure Fraction (when not following Route 2H) requirements of IEC 61508 must be verified for each specific design.
6 2017 IEC 61508 Functional Safety Surveillance Audit

6.1 Roles of the parties involved

Topworx, Inc. Manufacturer of the D-ESD Valve Controller
exida Performed the hardware assessment review
exida Performed the IEC 61508 Functional Safety Surveillance Audit per the accredited exida scheme.

Topworx contracted exida in February 2017 to perform the surveillance audit for the above Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller. The surveillance audit was conducted remotely in February and March of 2017.

6.2 Surveillance Methodology

As part of the IEC 61508 functional safety surveillance audit the following aspects have been reviewed:

- Procedure Changes – Changes to relevant procedures since the last audit are reviewed to determine that the modified procedures meet the requirements of the exida certification scheme.
- Engineering Changes – The engineering change list is reviewed to determine if an of the changes could affect the safety function of the Topworx D-ESD Valve Controller.
- Impact Analysis – If changes were made to the product design, the impact analysis associated with the change will be reviewed to see that the functional safety requirements for an impact analysis have been met.
- Field History – Shipping and field returns during the certification period will be reviewed to determine if any systematic failures have occurred. If systematic failures have occurred during the certification period, the corrective action that was taken to eliminate the systematic failure(s) will be reviewed to determine that said action followed the approved processes and was effective.
- Safety Manual – The latest version of the safety manual will be reviewed to determine that it meets the IEC 61508 requirements for a safety manual.
- FMEDA Update – If required or requested the FMEDA will be updated. This is typically done if there are changes to the IEC 61508 standard and/or changes to the exida failure rate database.
- Evaluate use of the certificate and/or certification mark - Conduct a search of the applicant’s web site and document any misuse of the certificate and/or certification mark. Report any misuse of the certificate and/or certification mark to the exida Managing Director.
- Recommendations from Previous Audits – If there are recommendations from the previous audit, these are reviewed to see if the recommendations have been implemented properly.
6.2.1 Surveillance Documentation generated by exida

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[R5]</td>
<td>EPM 13-08-108_R001 V3R2_FMEDA_Topworx.pdf</td>
<td>FMEDA report, D-ESD Valve Controller</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3 Surveillance Results

6.3.1 Procedure Changes
Changes to the documents highlighted in section 2.4.1 were reviewed and were found to be consistent with the requirements of IEC 61508.

6.3.2 Engineering Changes
A list of engineering changes was reviewed. It was determined that none of the changes affected the safety function of the D-ESD Valve Controller.

6.3.3 Impact Analysis
Not Applicable.

6.3.4 Field History
Shipping and warranty field return data was reviewed. A failure rate analysis was performed based on the shipping and field return information. The failure rate of the D-ESD Valve Controller was determined to be consistent with the failure rates reported in the FMEDA analysis.

6.3.5 Safety Manual
The latest version of the safety manual, D079c was reviewed and was found to meet the requirements of IEC 61508 for a functional safety manual.

6.3.6 FMEDA Update
The FMEDA analysis was updated using the failure rate that will be published in edition 4 of the Mechanical Component Reliability Handbook. The revised FMEDA includes failure rates for both static and dynamic applications. Failure rates for Site Safety Index 2 & 4 were added to the FMEDA report. See the FMEDA report for an explanation of static and dynamic applications as well as the Site Safety Index.

6.3.7 Evaluate use of certificate and/or certification mark.
The use of the SIL certification and/or certification mark on the Topworx (http://www.emerson.com/en-us/automation/topworx) website was reviewed. The SIL certificate
appears in the Master Installation, Operation & Maintenance Manual is a section dedicated to emergency shutdown. This is consistent with the terms in the Certification Agreement.

6.3.8 Previous Recommendations
There were no recommendations from the last audit that are required for this audit.
## 7 Terms and Definitions

**Automatic Diagnostics**  
Tests performed on line internally by the device or, if specified, externally by another device without manual intervention.

**exida criteria**  
A conservative approach to arriving at failure rates suitable for use in hardware evaluations utilizing the 2\text{h} Route in IEC 61508-2.

**Fault tolerance**  
Ability of a functional unit to continue to perform a required function in the presence of faults or errors (IEC 61508-4, 3.6.3)

**FIT**  
Failure In Time \((1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ failures per hour})\)

**FMEDA**  
Failure Mode Effect and Diagnostic Analysis

**HFT**  
Hardware Fault Tolerance

**Low demand mode**  
Mode, where the demand interval for operation made on a safety-related system is greater than twice the proof test interval.

**PFD_{AVG}**  
Average Probability of Failure on Demand

**PVST**  
Partial Valve Stroke Test

It is assumed that the Partial Stroke Testing, when performed, is automatically performed at least an order of magnitude more frequent than the proof test, therefore the test can be assumed an automatic diagnostic. Because of the automatic diagnostic assumption, the Partial Valve Stroke Testing also has an impact on the Safe Failure Fraction.

**Random Capability**  
The SIL limit imposed by the Architectural Constraints for each element.

**SFF**  
Safe Failure Fraction summarizes the fraction of failures, which lead to a safe state and the fraction of failures which will be detected by diagnostic measures and lead to a defined safety action.

**SIF**  
Safety Instrumented Function

**SIL**  
Safety Integrity Level

**SIS**  
Safety Instrumented System – Implementation of one or more Safety Instrumented Functions. A SIS is composed of any combination of sensor(s), logic solver(s), and final element(s).

**Systematic Capability**  
Measure of the confidence that the systematic safety integrity of an element meets the requirements of the specified SIL.

**Type A element**  
“Non-Complex” element (using discrete components); for details see 7.4.4.1.2 of IEC 61508-2

**Type B element**  
“Complex” element (using complex components such as micro controllers or programmable logic); for details see 7.4.4.1.3 of IEC 61508-2
8 Status of the Document

8.1 Liability

exida prepares reports based on methods advocated in International standards. exida accepts no liability whatsoever for the use of this report or for the correctness of the standards on which the general calculation methods are based.

8.2 Releases
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V3, R1: Revised per surveillance audit, S. Close  
V2, R2: Revised Safety Manual Number, S. Close. 8/25/2016  
V2, R1: Added re-designed cube & 3rd party solenoid configurations, S. Close  
V1, R4: Removed HFT references, S. Close May 5, 2014  
V1, R3: Removed standalone reference. S. close  
V1, R2: Included Topworx edits, April 8, 2014  
V1, R1: First Release, March 31, 2014  
V0, R1: Draft; March 31, 2014
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