Choosing the Right Communication Protocol
When using throttling valves in closed-loop process control, a variety of factors impact the selection of the most appropriate communications network. Chief among them are performance and installation cost.

Within the chemical process industries (CPI), three networking technologies are commonly used to interface throttling valves with the automation system: 4–20 mA with HART, fieldbus and wireless. This article examines these three options, and also provides information on emerging technologies to improve control performance.

### Factors affecting control performance

Performance in a closed-loop control application depends on the dynamic response of the controller, valve, measurement and process (Figure 1). To achieve a target control objective, it is necessary to consider all of these components (see box, p. 37).

Performance and cost discussions should always start with the process, but care should be taken not to focus on one area and exclude others. For example, the valve should be considered along with the rest of the process-loop elements to achieve operating objectives. There are three main criteria that may be used to evaluate closed-loop throttling-valve control: process variability, reliability and control responsiveness.

Reduced process variability can provide a competitive advantage in manufacturing and can lead to higher operating profits. As an example, some processes have a maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP, Figure 2), and the closer to the MAOP that the process operates (without exceeding MAOP),
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The ability of the process loop. Redundancies in points of failure can compromise the availability of the process loop. Redundancies in equipment can be used to eliminate critical concerns and increase mean time between failures. This approach is common in safety integrity level (SIL) design and can be used in general process design, but redundancy does increase cost.

Reliability refers to the integrity of the components involved with the control loop and the fail-safe behavior of the valve. Single points of failure can compromise the availability of the process loop. Redundancies in equipment can be used to eliminate critical concerns and increase mean time between failures. This approach is common in safety integrity level (SIL) design and can be used in general process design, but redundancy does increase cost.

Responsiveness relates to the capabilities of all the components in the control loop. These include the sensitivity of the process sensor to the resolution accuracy of the valve. Each component should be examined, with priority assigned to addressing those with the greatest impacts. For example, a process sensor with a 1%-of-span resolution and a valve assembly that can modulate with a resolution of 5% of span would suggest making valve improvements first, as these would have the greatest positive impact on variability.

Networking technologies can also impose limitations, with an example being the frequency of measurement update and control-loop execution. This may limit how quickly the control can respond to unmeasured disturbances. Delays introduced into the control loop involve the entire processing cycle — from process sampling, to calculating, to delivering output. The total delay time is important because it directly influences control performance and may affect controller tuning.

Digital valve controllers offer several advantages, including the following:

- **Start-up and commissioning.** Digital instrumentation includes the ability to perform auto-calibrations. The result is every valve is commissioned the same, eliminating differences introduced by personnel, and commissioning is completed faster.

- **Operating mode.** If the valve positioner has a problem, it can switch modes (for example, changing from position feedback to pressure feedback). If it does, the benefit is continued operation and corrective actions started sooner via an alert sent to maintenance, preferably before process variability is affected.

- **Maintenance mode.** When placing the control valve in a state that does not act on a signal from the control system, the automation system must be aware. If a service technician performs maintenance on a valve, he or she may place the valve in an “out of service” state and perform requested actions. Performing a calibration on a valve is one example of a maintenance task where this is helpful. During this period, the automation system should have an indication that the valve will not respond to the target output, which can be easily provided by a digital valve positioner.

Control valves may be the most important part of a control loop, but sometimes they are the most neglected. They are a leading cause of process variability and poor control in loop performance.

Improvements aimed at the process or equipment require understanding important aspects of throttling valve control. Variability and responsiveness are the most sought-after improvements, and both demand a digital valve solution with position feedback technologies to deliver better accuracy, and to address stiction and backlash.

Travel deviation, drive signal and cycle accumulation alerts indicate when a valve needs attention. One test in particular — the step response test — will analyze how a valve responds to small step input changes (Figure 3). For example, a valve requiring a 5% input change to move would be a clear target for improvement.

Digital valve controllers offer several advantages, including the following:

- **Start-up and commissioning.** Digital instrumentation includes the ability to perform auto-calibrations. The result is every valve is commissioned the same, eliminating differences introduced by personnel, and commissioning is completed faster.

- **Operating mode.** If the valve positioner has a problem, it can switch modes (for example, changing from position feedback to pressure feedback). If it does, the benefit is continued operation and corrective actions started sooner via an alert sent to maintenance, preferably before process variability is affected.

- **Maintenance mode.** When placing the control valve in a state that does not act on a signal from the control system, the automation system must be aware. If a service technician performs maintenance on a valve, he or she may place the valve in an “out of service” state and perform requested actions. Performing a calibration on a valve is one example of a maintenance task where this is helpful. During this period, the automation system should have an indication that the valve will not respond to the target output, which can be easily provided by a digital valve positioner.

![Figure 2. Operating a process close to the maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) can mean maximizing profits](image)

![Figure 3. A step-response test measures how a valve responds to small input changes](image)
The three main networking technologies used in the CPI for interfacing the automation system to field devices are:

- 4–20 mA with HART
- Fieldbus (Foundation Fieldbus or Profibus PA)
- WirelessHART

An examination of each shows both advantages and concerns.

**4–20 mA with HART**

Plants constructed in the 20th century are, in most cases, instrumented with field devices interfacing to the automation system using 4–20-mA current signals for both measurement and control. These field devices typically have digital electronics and support HART communications superimposed upon the 4–20-mA signal.

HART communications is relatively slow (1,200 baud — units for pulses per second), so the 4–20-mA interface is typically used for process measurements sent to the automation system by field devices, and for control signals sent to throttling valves by the automation system. HART communications is typically used for diagnostics and calibration.

To avoid disruption of HART communications, the rate of change in the current signal is normally limited by the transmitter and digital valve positioner. For example, the transition time for a change from 4 mA to 20 mA may require 120 milliseconds (ms). From a practical standpoint, this limitation on rate of change has little or no impact on closed-loop control.

The rate at which the transmitter updates the 4–20-mA signal to reflect changing process conditions, the rate at which the automation system accesses the transmitter’s 4–20-mA signal, and any delay introduced in processing the 4–20-mA control signal to a valve can directly impact the closed-loop control of fast processes, such as liquid flow or pressure. These delays add up and can directly impact PID (proportional-integral-derivative) control performance.

For example, when transmitter, controller I/O (input/output) scan rate, position update rate and automation system controller-output process time are each 50 ms, then a maximum delay of 200 ms and average delay of 100 ms may be introduced. Some transmitters, controllers and valves provide even slower update rates, and the resulting delay can degrade the control of fast processes. The transmitter, controller and valve positioner should be carefully selected based on their update rate when addressing control of fast processes.

With 4–20-mA control configurations, there are several single points of failure: from the controller to the I/O; the I/O itself; and the wiring from the I/O to the sensor or controller (Figure 4). Any single failure will affect the operation of a closed-loop process control structure.

When installing wiring for 4–20 mA with HART, a cable consisting of a single twisted pair of shielded wires is required for each measurement or valve. Dedicated wiring to each field device limits the impact of a short-circuit or opening in the wiring to one device. The costs of the cable, labor to install the cable and checking out each wiring connection between a field device and the automation system are significant.

In some cases, the cable cost and installation labor may be reduced by using multi-conductor cable between the automation system controller and a junction box in the field. These savings are often offset by the increased time required to document and check out the additional junction box connections. Alternatively, some controllers are designed to allow I/O cards to be remotely mounted, but the cost of providing a housing to adequately protect the I/O cards while allowing maintenance access may far exceed any savings in cable cost.

Cost and performance considerations for 4–20 mA with HART include the following:

- The technology is very mature and its operation is deterministic, providing solid performance. The overall loop execution period depends mainly on the automation system vendor and can range from 20 ms to several seconds
- HART communication can be used for diagnostics and calibration, but the 4–20-mA current signal should be used in control applications
- Loop integrity can be considered low with a single pressure sensor, single analog input, single PID controller, single analog output and single valve positioner. Adding redundancy is difficult and expensive
- Diagnostics and alerts provided by HART-enabled devices can help plant operators detect problems quickly, sometimes before they occur, and help transition from reactive to proactive maintenance
Foundation Fieldbus and Profibus PA

Soon after 4–20 mA was approved as an international standard, work started on a fieldbus standard to support total digital communication with field devices. The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC; Geneva, Switzerland; www.iec.ch) international fieldbus standard IEC61158 defines a physical layer for digital communications over existing twisted pair wiring installed in a plant. Various fieldbus communication protocols are defined in this standard.

The reasons for the wide acceptance of fieldbus in new plant construction include the following:

- A single twisted pair of wires may be used between a controller and junction box and then fanned out to multiple field devices (Figure 5). The wiring savings are significant compared to a 4–20-mA installation.
- All field devices on a segment communicate and receive power over a single twisted pair connection to the controller, eliminating the need to supply power to each device separately.
- As devices join the segment, the factory tag for the device is available to commission the device. Wiring checkout is faster, with wiring mistakes minimized.
- All the measurement values available in a device — such as pressure, mass flow, temperature and other parameters in a Coriolis flowmeter — may be accessed through the digital communications link.
- Valve-stem position feedback is available from a digital valve positioner.
- The maximum distance between the controller and field device is comparable to 4–20-mA installations.

Fieldbus supports quick access to operational and diagnostic information in a field device. Also, the entire control loop may be moved to the field devices with Foundation Fieldbus to implement control in the field. Function blocks used for control are automatically scheduled, resulting in deterministic and synchronized control execution.

The macrocycle is the time it takes to process the input, perform the PID calculation, and then develop the output. There are differences in products affecting both the macrocycle and loop execution period.

For example, in a typical control loop where measurement and control are done in the fieldbus transmitter, there is only a 55 ms delay between measurement availability and control action initiated in the valve (Figure 6).

Thus, by using control in the field, it is possible to achieve control performance comparable to 4–20-mA devices and networks. When control is performed at the automation system instead of in the field (Figure 8), an added delay is introduced in the control loop since the measurement, control and output to the valve are not synchronized.

When addressing faster applications, this additional delay can degrade control performance. Also, as more devices are added to a fieldbus segment, the macrocycle may be extended, which can affect how quickly the control can respond to a process disturbance.

The added cost of a fieldbus device versus an equivalent 4–20-mA device is offset by the significant wiring savings, and by the reduction in the time to engineer, install and check out a fieldbus installation. Also, expanded diagnostics available with fieldbus devices can reduce the time required to resolve an operational problem.

But in a fieldbus installation, different tools and knowledge are required to achieve benefits. Thus, in a new installation, personnel involved in installation and checkout must be trained on the proper methods required to install and commission fieldbus devices. Changes will be required in the tools used to engineer and document the automation system wiring and installation.

Cost and performance considerations for Foundation Fieldbus and Profibus include the following:

- Fieldbus technology and its operation is deterministic, providing solid performance.
- The overall loop execution period can range from less than 100 ms with control in the field, to several seconds with control by the automation system.
WIRELESS CONTROL FOR A DIVIDED WALL COLUMN

For the past six years, the University of Texas at Austin’s Separation Research Program has been studying the use of wireless technologies in a dividing wall column (DWC) distillation process. At the University of Texas installation (Figure 7), column temperature, tray level and flow measurements are made using WirelessHART transmitters.

Closed-loop control using wireless temperature measurements, steam flow, liquid flow is accomplished using PIDPlus. Heater temperature control is based on PIDPlus, and temperature measurement is provided by a WirelessHART transmitter.

PIDPlus provides effective control using the typical wireless update rates of eight to sixteen seconds, which are required to achieve a five- to seven-year battery life. It is possible to control using wireless measurements while delivering performance comparable to traditional wired transmitters and wired final control elements in certain applications. The modifications in PID introduced by PIDPlus are designed to handle loss of communication, and to enable control using relatively slow measurement and non-periodic measurement updates.

The control design implemented on the DWC at the University of Texas has proven to be effective in providing stable column operation. Experience with the column operation over a variety of operating conditions has shown the following:

- Closed loop control using wireless measurements and PIDPlus effectively addresses relatively fast processes, such as liquid flow and steam flow, as well as slower processes, such as temperature control, using an eight-second periodic communication update rate.
- Model predictive control satisfies process control requirements using wireless instrumentation. For the DWC control, model predictive control has been shown to outperform single loop control.

In new plant construction, many manufacturers find that installation and commissioning costs can be reduced by using WirelessHART field devices. When installing field devices in remote locations, such as in waste- and water-treatment areas, significant savings may be realized by eliminating wiring. The market for WirelessHART has grown significantly, leading to interest in using wireless for closed loop control.

WirelessHART field-device transmission can be slow. In particular, battery-powered transmitters may be configured to transmit only periodically — such as every 8 s — to achieve a battery life of five to seven years. For this reason, many engineers have been using wireless more for monitoring, and consider wireless too slow for control purposes.

But WirelessHART devices powered locally do not have the disadvantage of a slow update time. Update rates can be much faster, because there is no battery life concern. Energy harvesting devices that convert temperature or vibration to power can also allow WirelessHART devices to transmit at a faster rate.

In a WirelessHART network, all communications are scheduled by the WirelessHART network manager (Figure 10). The result is the deterministic update of a measurement used in process control. In other words, whatever measurement update time is required, the network manager will schedule it, within the limits of the technology.

To address control of processes with a response time of 30 s or less — such as liquid or gas flow — a modified version of the PID algorithm called PIDPlus can be used. PIDPlus modifies the PID algorithm to automatically...
account for slower update times. Thus, processes such as liquid or gas flow may be effectively controlled using a measurement communication update rate of 8 s.

Research at the University of Texas (Austin; www.utexas.edu) has shown that real-time control of a dividing-wall distillation column using PIDPlus and wireless transmitters is comparable to control achieved using PID control with wired transmitters (see sidebar, “Wireless Control of a Distillation Column”).

For control valves, a WirelessHART adapter installed on a digital valve positioner (see Figure 10, lower right) enables wireless closed-loop control. However, the downstream communications by WirelessHART gateways are currently not scheduled and may introduce significant delay. Thus, closed-loop control using WirelessHART adapters on the throttling valve is currently limited to slower processes, such as tank level control. This will soon change with the addition of scheduled downstream communication to WirelessHART gateways.

Cost and performance considerations for WirelessHART include the following:

- WirelessHART technology for process measurements is deterministic, providing solid performance
- WirelessHART addresses integrity concerns with several levels of redundancy due to the characteristics of its wireless mesh network
- Control execution in the automation system should be much faster than the measurement-communication update rate to minimize any delay for a new measurement being used in control
- The process-sensing update rate will affect performance and battery life. For example, to achieve a five- to seven-year battery life, a communication update rate of eight or sixteen seconds may be required. There are differences in products and vendors that affect both the update frequency and battery life. This technology is changing fast and these times are expected to improve
- Valve-stem position feedback is available from a digital valve positioner
- Loop integrity can be considered low with a single pressure sensor, a single process input, a single PID controller and a single valve positioner. However, redundancies can be added to increase loop integrity
- Diagnostics and alerts, such as low battery power remaining, are included in devices to enable proactive maintenance practices
- Total installed costs can be up to 90% less than with 4–20 mA wired technologies, and less than with fieldbus networks. These costs include engineering, cabling and system device expenses
- The number of devices on a wireless network may affect update rates

Comparing interface technologies

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of valve control with 4–20 mA with HART, fieldbus and WirelessHART. The fastest measurement update rate is provided by 4–20 mA with HART, and the slowest update rate is provided by WirelessHART. However, it is possible to pro-
vide comparable control performance using a modified PID algorithm.

The most expensive solution is 4–20 mA with HART because of the extensive wiring infrastructure needed, and the least expensive is WirelessHART because it does not need a wired infrastructure.

While the table shows the typical communication update rates is 8 s to provide a 5–7 year battery life, this update rate can be set much faster when powered wireless field devices are used.

Future enhancements

Quickly evolving and advancing areas are wireless for control and an all-digital control structure. Improvements and progress have been made recently, including advances in control algorithms such as PIDPlus. Intelligence in field instruments is now being leveraged so controllers act only when needed, which enables effective control using slower update rates.

Another area of progress is pushing more intelligence to field devices. Fieldbus-based technologies include control in the field already, but much more can be done in this area by increasing use of this capability.

HART-IP (internet protocol) will be an interesting technology as it evolves and enables greater speed and bandwidth. The underlying physical structure is independent and can be applied to multiple communication technologies such as WiFi, Bluetooth and fiber-based structures.

Digital communications can eliminate the need for field devices and control system I/O to perform many A/D (analog to digital) and D/A (digital to analog) conversions. Increased use of digital communications will reduce the need for 4–20-mA field instruments.

With the increase in intelligence, new modes of operation will evolve. Digital intelligence will bring with it the ability to use “triggers.” These triggers and how long they exist will be used to make value-added decisions. For example: if the target set point from the automation system has not been seen by a field-based device for an extended period of time (meaning a potential loss of communications), the valve can be smart enough to move to a pre-determined setting. Likewise, if and when the failure trigger goes away, the valve can follow pre-determined scenarios before returning to normal operation. The result will increase the integrity of process control.

When you consider a new digital-only environment, there are many opportunities for improvement that will translate into higher equipment reliability, reduced process variability and cost reductions.

Concluding remarks

Throttling valve control has relied on 4–20 mA with HART and fieldbus interfaces for decades. Wireless adapters are available today for use with digital valve positioner, and wireless field devices are also available. However, the delay introduced by wireless downstream communications limits the use of wireless valve positioner to control of slower processes. As scheduled downstream communication support is added to WirelessHART gateways, wireless throttling valves will increasingly be used in the control of faster processes.
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