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The gas detection imperative

Toxic gas monitoring is critical for natural gas power plants, chemical processing, oil and gas production plants, and refrigeration facilities around the world. Loss of containment of these hazardous gases can result in property loss through excessive corrosion, damage to the environment and, most critical, injury and death. Many of these toxic substances have poor physiological warning properties, meaning people can be overwhelmed by them before being aware of their presence. To protect workers and plant assets, as well as to avert harm to the environment and the public outside of the fence line, organizations use gas detection systems. Toxic gas detection systems have a long history in the workplace and are mandated by law or corporate codes of practice or applied on a voluntary basis.

Among toxic gas detection methods, electrochemical and semiconductor gas detection are most frequently used. Both techniques offer sensitivity in the parts per million, are robust, and suitable for the detection of a wide variety of gases. Yet, despite solid state sensors’ strong contribution to process safety, advances in electrochemical sensor design have led to the broader use of electrochemical gas detection. This trend is significant for every plant where gas detection is used and can guide critical selection even in constrained circumstances.

This paper compares novel electrochemical sensors with their solid state counterparts. To gain a better perspective on this trend, it is useful to review electrochemical sensors’ physical principle of operation and the advances that have enabled these sensors to overcome well-known limitations, putting the new technology into relief and illustrating why electrochemical sensors are the single most complete toxic gas sensors.

Semiconductor sensors

Since the late 1960s, the electrical properties of semiconducting metal oxides have been successfully employed in gas sensing devices. These sensors consist of a gas sensitive resistive film, a platinum heater element, and an insulation medium. Figure 1 illustrates a solid state sensor design. Most commercial gas sensors make use of tin oxide or tungsten oxide combined with other oxides, catalysts, and dopants to increase the selectivity of the device. Such materials offer high sensitivity at lower reaction temperatures.
When exposed to gas, gas molecules react on the metal oxide surface and dissociate into charged ions or complexes that alter the resistance of the film\(^1\). This change is dependent on the physical properties of the metal oxide film as well as the morphology and geometric characteristics of the sensing layer and the temperature at which the reaction takes place. A heater circuit raises the temperature of the film to a range that yields optimal sensitivity and response time to the gas to be detected. Additionally, a pair of biased electrodes is embedded into the metal oxide to measure the change in resistance. This variation of the sensor that results from the interaction of the gas molecules with the film is measured as a signal and is completely reversible. This signal is then converted to a gas concentration.

For reducing gases such as carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen (H\(_2\)), or hydrogen sulfide (H\(_2\)S), the surface reaction takes place with preabsorbed oxygen and water vapor related species, which decreases the resistance of the sensor. The decrease in resistance as a function of gas concentration is shown in Figure 2. For oxidizing gases such as nitrogen dioxide (NO\(_2\)) and ozone (O\(_3\)), the resistance increases with increasing gas concentration. The magnitude of the changes depends on the microstructure and composition or doping of the base material, on the morphology and geometrical characteristics of the sensing layer and of the substrate, as well as on the temperature at which the sensing takes place. Alterations in any of these parameters allow for the tuning of the sensitivity towards different gases or classes of gases.

### Advantages of solid state

Semiconductor sensors are versatile and long-lived. Typical semiconductor sensors can detect a wide variety of gases and can be used in many different applications. Furthermore, they can do so over 10 years, making their life expectancy among the longest of any detection technology available. Solid state sensors are also robust and have high tolerance to extreme ambient conditions and corrosive environments, making them a choice for monitoring gases in hot, dry climates.

### Limitations of solid state

When it comes to cost of ownership, solid state sensors often fall short of end users’ expectations. A weakness of this detection technology cited in the literature is poor selectivity. Many solid state sensors are affected by methyl mercaptan, chlorine gas, NO\(_x\) compounds, and other interference gases that alter the sensor reading. This makes the sensor output unreliable and could lead the instrument to trigger false alarms.

Another drawback of solid state sensors is their high power consumption. These devices rely on a heater to regulate the temperature of the metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) film. High temperatures are required because the gas response (or gas sensitivity) of metal oxide films reaches a maximum temperature between 100° and
500 °C, depending on the composition of the film and the adsorption and desorption characteristics of the gas on the metal oxide surface. The penalty for such high temperature is the need for a large and constant supply of energy. Typical specifications for power consumption are about 1 W.

Power consumption, however, is not the only limiting factor affecting cost of ownership. Solid state sensors are prone to baseline shifts over time. As a result, the sensor reading decreases on exposure to the same well-known concentration of target gas, a phenomenon known as the “sleep effect” and demonstrated in Figure 3. This gradual degradation of the concentration scale is only mitigated by exposing the sensor periodically to the target gas. Invariably, end users spend calibration gas at a high rate to keep sensors “exercised.”

Electrochemical sensors

Electrochemical sensors have been used extensively both for portable and fixed detection systems. For instance, oxygen deficiency sensing can be accomplished entirely by electrochemical means. An electrochemical sensor is an electrochemical cell that employs a two- or three-electrode arrangement and in which concentration measurements can be performed at steady-state or transient. Depending on the mode of operation, electrochemical sensors are divided into potentiometric, amperometric, and electrocatalytic sensors.

Most electrochemical cells are what are known as amperometric devices. An amperometric device is one in which the current flowing through the system is related to the concentration of the gaseous species. Consisting of a three-electrode system – the working, the counter, and the reference electrodes – an amperometric sensor operates at a fixed potential. A schematic of an electrochemical cell with its components is shown in Figure 4. In diffusion-limited conditions, exposure of the cell to the gas of interest results in the production of two chemical reactions. These chemical processes produce a current that is directly proportional to gas concentration. When the diffusion of the electroactive species is transport limited, the measured current $i$ is given by:

$$i = nFDC$$

Figure 3: Baseline and span shift of hydrogen sulfide solid state gas detector over time when unexposed to the target gas.

Figure 4: Components of electrochemical sensor.
where \( n \) is the number of electrons transferred, \( F \) is the Faraday constant, \( D \) is the mass transfer coefficient, and \( C \) is the bulk gas concentration.

**Advantages of electrochemical sensors**

The advantages of electrochemical gas detection in harsh environments are well known. Electrochemical sensors are highly sensitive, consume low power because of their intrinsically safe operation, and have good specificity to target gases. The devices have a working pressure range of 10 percent within atmospheric pressure and, consequently, require no recalibration if used at high elevations. Another advantage is their direct linear output of current to gas concentration. They offer a real zero.

One striking feature of electrochemical sensors is their capacity for miniaturization. For this type of sensor, the sensitivity, accuracy, and linearity are independent of size. Rather, sensitivity depends on the number of reactive sites of the electrode and the size of the gas inlet.

**Limitations of electrochemical sensors**

Traditionally, users of gas detection have learned the drawbacks of electrochemical gas detection stem from the factors affecting the chemical reaction. The speed of reaction, for example, decreases with decreasing temperature. As a result, the temperature range of electrochemical cells tends to be narrower than those of other types of detectors. Historically, electrochemical devices were unable to operate in severe, cold weather. A low ambient temperature limit of -10 °C is not uncommon in certain commercial models. These sensors are also adversely affected by alkaline metals, which cause sensor drift, and by silicone vapors, which may coat the sensor surface and irreversibly inhibit sensitivity. Operation in low oxygen environments also alters sensor performance in sensors in which oxidation of the target gas takes place at the sensing electrode. Examples are certain ammonia, carbon monoxide, and hydrogen sulfide electrochemical cells.

**The advance in electrochemical sensor design**

The limited operating temperature range for electrochemical sensors has reduced their use and created a demand for other sensors, specifically solid state designs. This has led to a “no perfect solution” mindset in gas detection. While detection diversity can be very desirable in many applications, researchers and scientists have worked hard to correct the limitations of the “more perfect” sensor design – the electrochemical sensor – thereby creating a “go to” solution that solves the needs in the widest range of applications. The results have been very gratifying.

To address poor thermal stability, researchers have developed cells with ionic liquids (ILs) as the ion conducting phase. Room-temperature ionic liquids are a class of compounds containing organic cations and anions, which melt at or close to room temperature. Ionic liquids have low vapor pressures and are stable at temperatures as high as 350 °C. Most ionic liquids are surfactants consisting of organic cations such as phosphonium dodecylbenzene, \( N \)-alkylpyridinium, and a variety of anions (e.g. \( \text{SO}_3^- \),
White Paper

Electrochemical vs. Semiconductor Gas Detection – a Critical Choice

Br-). To date, several research groups have demonstrated the use of these novel materials in electrochemical sensors for oxygen, carbon dioxide, and ammonia. The advantages imparted by ionic liquids are evident in an ammonia electrochemical cell. This sensor was found to have a linear response range from 0 - 100 ppm and a detection limit of 4.2 ppm. Most importantly, the device shows fast and reversible response at temperatures above 75 °C. Additionally, IL-based electrochemical sensors can have operational temperature ranges that surpass those of conventional electrochemical cells, even including operating temperature ranges of -40° to +50 °C.

If there has been a principal objection toward the broader acceptance of electrochemical sensors, it may be their operation in extreme heat or cold. Yet the thermal stability of these devices has improved over the years and today’s sensors operate at ambient temperature limits that approach or often match those of solid state sensors.

There was a time when electrochemical devices fared poorly in environments with low humidity and had short service lives. In low humidity conditions, cells could dry out and small amounts of impurity gases and other compounds could poison the sensor over time. Over the last 10 years, sensor manufacturers have developed designs that reduce or eliminate these deficiencies. Newer sensors have less porous barriers that limit the amount of gas molecules passing through, thereby reducing the amount of moisture collected by the cell. High selectivity has mitigated the effects of poisons and interfering agents, which in turn has improved longevity.

Advanced features for longevity and selectivity

With the evolution of electrochemical detectors, new technologies overcome their traditional weaknesses. An advanced electrochemical gas sensor can now offer high specificity, fast response, and low maintenance burden if it includes several of the following features and capabilities:

- Plug-and-play design where the transmitter automatically recognizes the sensor configuration
- Triple electrode design using robust materials for sensing, reference, and counter electrodes in the sensing element ensure long life and enhanced performance
- Low power consumption, which increases uptime
- Field-selectable gas ranges
- Ability to perform in extreme industrial environments and field-selected gas concentration range to ensure an accurate and stable gas concentration reading
- Operating temperature range of -40 °C to +50 °C (-40 °F to +122 °F)
- Operating humidity range of 15 percent to 90 percent, which can be enabled by controlling humidity through a diffusion process as gases pass through a specialized hydrophobic barrier
The sensor of choice

Today’s electrochemical detection systems are sensitive, selective, and are minimally affected by pressure and temperature changes. Similarly, electrochemical sensors’ accuracy, repeatability, and response speed do not change significantly with ambient conditions, making them an ideal choice for applications that require repeatability and consistency. Because electrochemical sensors inherently consume little power, they lend themselves well for the design of wireless gas detectors. Some commercial models can operate for more than five years before requiring battery replacement. Figure 5 shows a commercial wireless-enabled gas detector.

A whole host of petroleum companies have long established electrochemical sensors as their preferred method for toxic gas detection. In fact, several corporate codes of practice support electrochemical type sensors as the preferred or only approved method for point H2S detection.

Conclusion

The choice of detection method for monitoring toxic gases in industrial settings has been a subject of persistent debate – but that argument is winding down. Electrochemical and semiconductor sensors both offer significant benefits, such as accuracy, reliability, and ease of use. Despite such common grounds, reduced cost of ownership favors electrochemical sensors over solid state devices. Electrochemical sensors are highly specific, do not require frequent exposure to target gases, and need little power to operate. Such advantages translate to cost savings over the device’s useful life.

The significant reduction or even elimination of the narrow operating temperature range of electrochemical sensors has taken away the principal argument for the use of solid state sensors in their place. While no single sensor will ever be the ultimate choice for all detection applications, today’s electrochemical gas sensors are as close to a gold standard as the user is likely to find.

---


Figure 5: Rosemount™ 928 hydrogen sulfide Wireless Gas Monitor from Emerson™.
More information can be found at Emerson.com/Rosemount628.